



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/633,902

08/04/2003

Joel D. Cooper

BRONNE00104

3792

74059

7590

07/08/2008

Broncus Technologies, Inc. c/o
Levine Bagade Han LLP
2483 E. Bayshore Road Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94303

EXAMINER

SHAY, DAVID M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3735

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/633,902 | Applicant(s) COOPER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner david shay | Art Unit 3735 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on November 7, 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12 and 14-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12 and 14-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12, 14-19, 24-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Goldberg et al.

Wherein the ultrasonic device operates while the needle creates the channel, and is thus examining the area of collateral ventilation. Further, the biopsy needle creates a channel and dilates it with the continued insertion thereof and is subsequently removed. The needle is also anchored to the insertion catheter in some way. Wherein the use of structure that does not manipulatively affect the method does not patentably distinguish over the reference (Ex Parte Pfeiffer 782 OG 639, 1962 CD 408).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg et al in combination with Gregory. Goldberg et al teach a method as claimed except for adding an agent to assist in identifying hyperinflation of the lung, and application of a cyanoacrylate

adhesive and a steroid. Gregory teaches applying a cyanoacrylate adhesive to affix a patch to a fistula. It would have been obvious to the artisan or ordinary skill to employ the adhesive and patch of Gregory in the method of Goldberg et al, since the passage formed by Goldberg could be considered a fistula, and to add an agent to assist in identifying hyperinflation of the lung, since hyperinflation is a situation which could have disastrous consequences, such as rupture of the lung, which is highly undesirable, official notice of which is hereby taken, and to administer a steroid, since this would reduce any inflammation present, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg et al in combination with Joseph. Goldberg et al teach a method as claimed except for the specific recitation of inserting a device to determine the degree of collateral ventilation. Joseph teaches the desirability of measuring the pressure in the lung during intubation. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to provide the pressure sensor of Joseph in the device of Goldberg et al, since this will prevent clogging and infection, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 14-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and

Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12, 14-19, 24-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Goldberg et al.

Wherein the ultrasonic device operates while the needle creates the channel, and is thus examining the area of collateral ventilation. Further, the biopsy needle creates a channel and dilates it with the continued insertion thereof and is subsequently removed. The needle is also anchored to the insertion catheter in some way. Wherein the use of structure that does not manipulatively affect the method does not patentably distinguish over the reference (Ex Parte Pfeiffer 782 OG 639, 1962 CD 408).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg et al in combination with Gregory. Goldberg et al teach a method as claimed except for adding an agent to assist in identifying hyperinflation of the lung, and application of a cyanoacrylate adhesive and a steroid. Gregory teaches applying a cyanoacrylate adhesive to affix a patch to a fistula. It would have been obvious to the artisan or ordinary skill to employ the adhesive and patch of Gregory in the method of Goldberg et al, since the passage formed by Goldberg could be considered a fistula, and to add an agent to assist in identifying hyperinflation of the lung, since hyperinflation is a situation which could have disastrous consequences, such as rupture of the lung, which is highly undesirable, official notice of which is hereby taken, and to administer a steroid, since this would reduce any inflammation present, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg et al in combination with Joseph. Goldberg et al teach a method as claimed except for the specific recitation of inserting a device to determine the degree of collateral ventilation. Joseph teaches the desirability of measuring the pressure in the lung during intubation. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to provide the pressure sensor of Joseph in the device of

Goldberg et al, since this will prevent clogging and infection, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 14-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/david shay/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735